Restoration of Noisy Blurred Images

Dr. Jassim M.Dunia S. TahirDr. Fadhil A. AliMosul University,Basrah University,Basrah University,Engineering College,Engineering College,Engineering College,Computer DepartmentComputer DepartmentComputer Departmentdr.jasm@yahoo.comduniasatar@yahoo.comdr.fadhil@faali.com

Abstract

In this paper, image deblurring and denoising are presented. The used images were blurred either with Gaussian or motion blur and corrupted either by Gaussian noise or by salt & pepper noise. In our algorithm, a discrete wavelet transform is used to divide the image into two parts. This partition will help in increasing the manipulation speed of images that are of the big sizes. Therefore, the first part represents the approximation coefficients, that a blur is reduced by using the modified fixed-phase iterative algorithm. While the second part represents the detail coefficients, that a noise is removed by using the BayesShrink wavelet thresholding method.

<i>,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,</i>	استرجاع الصور المضببة ذات الضوضاء	
د.فاضل عبد العياس	دنیا ستار طاهر	د.جاسم محمد عبد الجبار جامعة الدميل بكارد النبيبة
جامعة البصرة، كلية الهندمية	جامعة البصرة،كلية الهندسة	قسم حندسة المحامسان
قسم هندسة الخاسيات	فنتتم هنامته الحاسيات	-, ,

الخلاصة

في هذا البحث ،فدمت طوق إزالة التضبب و الضوضاء من الصور. حميم الصور المستخدمة مضيبة إما تضيبا كارسيا أو حركيا وكان نوع الضوضاء إما ضوضاء كارسي أو صوضاء الملح و الفلفل.في عوارزميتا،استخدمت تحويلة المونجة المنقطعة(DWT) لتفسيم الصورة إلى حزئيين. هذا النفسيم سيساعد في زيادة مبرعة معاجلة الصور ذات الأحجام الكبيرة. الجزء الأول يمثل معاملات النفريب و الذي سيقال التضبب بالاعتماد على الخوارزمية المطورة للخوارزمية التكرارية ثابتة الطور للصور المضية. بينما الجزء التاني و الدي يمن معاملات

1 Introduction

Digital image processing deals with many operations such as image compression, image edge enhancement, blurred image restoration, and noise removal from images. Image restoration methods are used to improve the appearance of an image by application of a restoration process that uses a mathematical model for image degradation. It is assumed that the degradation model is known or can be estimated. The idea is to model the degradation process and then apply the inverse process to restore the original image[1].

When noises are found on images, bad data will be found. It should be noted that

signals do not exist without noise while working with data obtained from the real world. Under ideal conditions, this noise may decrease to some negligible levels, while in many practical cases, the signal to noise ratios should be increased to all significant levels, that for some denoising is а purposes practical necessity[2]. Two words must be distinguished , "smoothing " and "denoising". Whereas smoothing removes high frequencies and retains low frequencies, denoising attempts to remove whatever noise present and retain all signal components present regardless of the frequency content of the signal[3].

2 System Model

The degradation process model consists of two parts, the degradation function and the noise function. The general model in the spatial domain follows[1]:

d(r,c) = f(r,c) * h(r,c) + n(r,c)...(1) the d(r,c)=degraded image, where convolution process. the denotes function(distortion h(r,c) = degradationoperator), also called the Point-Spread Function (PSF). This function, when convolved with the image, creates the distortion f(r,c) = original image, so that purpose of all deblurring and the denoising techniques is to separate the convolution product in order to restore ffrom d. n(r,c) = noise function.

2.1 Blurring Models

The types of blurring are[4,5]:

i. Gaussian Blur

The Gaussian blur can be generated by the following filter:

$$h_{f}(r,c) = e^{-(r^{2}+c^{2})/2\sigma^{2}}$$
 ...(2)

The number of selected pixels and the deviation sigma (σ) can be modified in order to control the Gaussian blurring degree on the image.

ii. Motion Blur

In motion blur the number of selected pixels to be shifted and the angle of shifting (θ) (shifting direction) can be changed[5].

2.2 Noise Models

In the image denoising process, information about the type of noise present in the original image plays a significant role. Typical images are corrupted with noise modeled with either a Gaussian, or salt and pepper distribution [1,5,6]:

i, Gaussian Noise

Gaussian noise is evenly distributed over the signal. This means that each pixel in the noisy image is the sum of the true pixel value and a random Gaussian distributed noise value. As the name indicates, this type of noise has a Gaussian distribution, which has a bell shaped

thresholded wavelet coefficients to obtain

a denoised image.

3.1 Wavelet Thresholding

Wavelet thresholding is the decomposition of a data or an image into some wavelet coefficients, comparing the detail coefficients with a given threshold value, and shrinking these coefficients close to

probability distribution function given by [1]:

$$Z_{G}(g) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma_{n}^{2}}} e^{-((g-m)^{2}/2\sigma_{n}^{2})} \dots (3)$$

where g represents the gray level, m is the mean or average of the function, and σ is the standard deviation of the noise $(\sigma_n^2 = \text{variance}).$

ii. Salt and Pepper Noise

Salt and pepper noise is an impulse type of noise, which is also referred to as intensity spikes [1]. This is caused to errors in data generally due transmission. It has only two possible values, a and b. The probability of each is typically less than 0.1 .The corrupted set alternatively to the are pixels minimum or to the maximum value, giving the image a " salt and pepper " appearance. Unaffected pixels like remain unchanged. For an 8-bit image, the typical value for pepper noise is 0 and for salt noise 255. The salt and pepper noise is generally caused by malfunctioning of camera the pixel elements in sensors, faulty memory location, or time errors in the digitization process.

3 Wavelet-based Denoising

Algorithm

The general wavelet denoising procedure is as follows[7]:

(1) Apply wavelet transform to the noisy image to produce the noisy wavelet coefficients.

(2)Select appropriate threshold limit at each level and specify the type of threshold method (hard or soft thresholding) for best removal of noise.
(3)Inverse wavelet transform of the

مجلة البصرة للعلوم الهندسية /العدد الثاني/ 2010

92

zero to take away the effect of noise in the data.During thresholding,a wavelet coefficient is compared with a given set to zero if its is threshold and threshold: magnitude is less than the otherwise, it is retained or modified depending on the threshold rule. The choice of a threshold is an important point of interest. It plays a major role in the reduction or removal of noise in images because denoising most frequently produces smoothed images, reducing the sharpness of the image. It is necessary to know about the two general categories of thresholding. They are hard-thresholding and soft-thresholding types[8].

3.1.1 Hard Thresholding

The hard-thresholding (T_H) can be

defined as[8]:

$$T_{H^{\perp}} \begin{cases} \mathbf{x} & \text{if } |\mathbf{x}| \geq t \\ \mathbf{0}^{\top} & \text{if } |\mathbf{x}| \leq t \quad \dots(4) \end{cases}$$

In hard thresholding, all coefficients whose magnitudes are greater than the selected threshold value remain as they are and the others with magnitudes smaller than or equal are set to zero.

3.1.2 Soft Thresholding

The soft-thresholding(T_S) can be defined as[8]:

$$T_{3-} \begin{cases} \operatorname{sign}(x)(|x|-t) & \operatorname{if}\{x\} \ge t \\ 0 & \operatorname{if}\{x\} \le t \qquad \dots(5) \end{cases}$$

In soft thresholding, all coefficients whose magnitude is greater than the selected threshold value, a signum function returns the (+1) value when the image coefficient exceeds the preset threshold, returns a(0) when it equals the preset threshold and returns a (-1) when it fails below the threshold. And the others with magnitudes smaller than or equal threshold value are set to zero. In practice, it is well known that the soft method is much better and yields more visually pleasant images. That is because the hard method is discontinuous and yields abrupt artifacts in the recovered images[9].



Figure 1 Shows hard and soft thresholding:(a)Hard thresholding, and (b)soft thresholding[6]

represents the figure 1 which โก and soft mapping functions of hard thresholdings, while at first sight hard thresholding may seem to be natural, the continuity of soft thresholding has advantages. It makes algorithms some mathematically more tractable [10]. Some times, pure noise coefficients may pass and appear as threshold hard the annoying 'blips' in the output. Soft false these shrinks thresholding structures[11,12,13].

In fact, since many optimal threshold values were derived for the purpose of soft thresholding, it is a common practice to simply set the optimal hard threshold value to be twice that of the optimal soft threshold. The relationship between the optimal values of hard and soft thresholding can be defined as[6]:

$$T_{s} \approx \frac{T_{H}}{2} \qquad \dots (6)$$

$$T_{H} \approx T_{s} \times 2 \qquad \dots (7)$$

So in this paper, soft thresholding will be calculated and then hard thresholding can be calculated by applying Eq.(7).

3.2 BayesShrink Wavelet Thresholding

The goal of this method is to minimize the Bayesian risk, and hence its name, *BayesShrink*. In *BayesShrink* the threshold for each subband will be determined. It uses soft thresholding and is subbanddependent, which means that thresholding is done at each band of resolution in the wavelet decomposition. It is smoothless adaptive[14].

مجلة البصيرة للعلوم الهندسية /العدد الثاني/ 2010

Basrah Journal for Engineering Science/No.2 /2010

The Bayes threshold, t_{BS} , is defined as [1,11,14];

$$t_{BS} = \sigma_n^2 / \sigma_f \qquad \dots (8)$$

where:

 σ_n^2 : is the noise variance, and σ_f^2 : is the image variance without noise. The noise variance σ_n^2 is estimated from the subband HH by the median estimator given as follows:

 $\hat{\sigma} = \frac{Median(|D_{HH}(r,c)|)}{0.6745}$, where the pixels

 $D_{\rm HH}(r,c)$ SubbandHH(9) From the definition of image corrupted with noise:

$$d(r,c)=f(r,c)+n(r,c)$$
 ...(10)

Since the noise and the image are independent of each other, it can be stated that:

$$\sigma_d^2 = \sigma_f^2 + \sigma_n^2 \qquad \dots (11)$$

where σ_d^2 can be computed as shown below:

$$\sigma_d^2 = \frac{1}{R \times C} \sum_{r=lc=l}^R \sum_{d=l}^C d^2(r,c) \qquad \dots (12)$$

where R×C is the image size.

The variance of the image, σ_f^2 computed

$$\sigma_f = \sqrt{\max\left(\sigma_d^2 - \sigma_n^2, 0\right)} \qquad \dots (13)$$

Knowing σ_n^2 and σ_f^2 the Bayes threshold can be computed from Eq. (8). Using this threshold, the wavelet coefficients are thresholded at each band.

4 Modified Fixed Phase Iterative Algorithm Recovery (MFPIA) of Blurred Images

The Modified Fixed Phase Iterative Algorithm(MFPIA), basically depends on two concepts, one is that introduced by Zho Ren Feng and Zhou Hui in the fixed phase iterative recovery algorithm of blurred images which states that the phase spectrum of the original clear image is the same as that for the blurred image[15]. The other concept is presented in the derivation and analysis of Slepin method[16]. In this algorithm supposes that there is no noise effect n(r,c)=0, so that:

 $d(r,c) = f(r,c)^* h(r,c) \ 0 \le (r,c) \le M \quad ...(14)$

The (MFPIA)algorithm is carried out by implementing the following tasks:

(1)For the first iteration, set $f_0(r,c) = d(r,c)$.

(2)Suppose that p is the time of the iteration, for the sake of treatment, $f_p(r,c)$ is needed to be converted to a 1-D form $f_p(m)$ where $0 \le m \le M^2$ by using the vector transform[16].

(3)Convert $f_p(m)$ to its frequency domain representation, i. e., magnitude & phase by using FFT.The length of FFT and FFT¹ must be bigger than $2M^2$ to ensure that the recovery is done perfectly

$$F_{p}(k) = FFT[f_{p}(m)] \qquad \dots (15)$$

D(k) = FFT[d(m)] where $0 \le k \le 2M^2$...(16)

in magnitude and phase forms:

$$F_{p}(k) = |F_{p}(k)| \exp[j\theta_{F_{p}}(k)]$$
 ...(17)

$$D(k) = [D(k)] \exp[j\theta_{D}(k)](18)$$

(4)The phase replacing process should take place here, i. e.,

$$\theta_{\mathcal{D}}(k) = \frac{\theta_{FP}(k)}{\Phi_{FP}(k)}$$
...(19)

Then the new sequence $F_{p+1}(k)$ is obtained as follows:

$$F_{p+1}(k) = F_p(k) \left\{ \exp[j\theta_D(k)] \right\} \qquad \dots (20)$$

(5)Now applying FFT^{1} to $F_{p+1}(k)$, $f_{p+1}(m)$ can be obtained, and since $f_{p+1}(m)$ is a 2M²- point length it must be truncated into an M²-point length, that is

$$\hat{f}_{p+1}(m) \neq \begin{cases} f_{p+1}(m) & 0 \le m \le M^2 - 1 \\ 0 & M^2 \le m \le 2M^2 - 1 \dots (21) \end{cases}$$

This is the time truncation process.

(6) Using inverse vector transform the sequence $\hat{f}_{p+1}(m)$ is transformed to $\hat{f}_{o+1}(r, c)$

(7) The derivative operation is performed by using an edge detection operator (e.g.

Laplacian operator), thus $\hat{f}_{p+1}(r, c)$ is obtained.

Basrah Journal for Engineering Science/No.2 /2010

(8) The restored image at iteration p+1 is obtained by

 $f_{p+1}(r,c) = \hat{f}_{p+1}(r,c) \hat{f}_{p+1}(r,c) + \dots(22)$

If the restored image quality is not good the iteration is repeated from step2[16].

5 The Present Algorithm

The present algorithm will introduce to be able to restore noisy blurred images. It can manipulate blurring only without noise, because the noise lies at high frequencies. The wavelet transform will be used to distinguish between the low frequency components and high frequency components and then it is assumed that there is no effect of noise at low frequencies.

The presented algorithm will be based on the wavelet transform. Using wavelet transform, images are decomposed into approximation and detail coefficients, where as the detail coefficient is further decomposed into (Horizontal, Vertical, Diagonal)coefficients, where:

- Approximation coefficients (A_c) represent the Low-Low subband,
- Horizontal detail coefficients (H_c) represent the Low-High subband,
- Vertical detail coefficients (V_v) represent the High –Low subband, and
- Diagonal detail coefficients (D_c) represent the High-High subband.

The effect of blurring can be reduced by using the modified fixed phase iterative algorithm MFPIA.While the effect of noise in the image can also be reduced by using BayesShrink method. This idea is realized in our algorithm.

Our algorithm is represented by the following steps, and figure 2 shows its flow chart:

(1)Decompose the noisy blurred image

$$d(r,c) = f(r,c) * h(r,c) + n(r,c) \qquad ...(23)$$

into subbands $[A_c, H_c, V_c, D_c]$ using the 2-D Discrete Wavelet Transform (DWT), where

A_c: Approximation coefficients(LL). H_c: Horizontal detail coefficients(LH). V_c: Vertical detail coefficients(HL). D_c: Diagonal detail coefficients(HH). The horizontal, vertical, and diagonal coefficients are all called detail coefficients.

(2)For the subband of approximation coefficients, Eq. (23) can be reduced to

$$d_a(r,c) = a(r,c) * h(r,c)$$
(24)

 $d_a(r,c)$:2-D approximation coefficients of the degraded image,

a(r,c): 2-D approximation coefficients of the original image and

h(r,c): 2-D point spread function (PSF).

In Eq. (24), it is assumed that there is no noise effect in this subband, i.e., n(r,c)=0. A. In the first iteration, after assuming n(r,c)=0, set $a_0(r,c) = d_0(r,c)$.

B. Suppose that p is the time of the iteration, for the sake of treatment $a_p(r,c)$ should be converted to a 1-D form $a_p(m)$ where $0 \le m \le M^2$, with M=R=C by using the vector transform[16].

(3)Convert $a_p(m)$ to its frequency domain representation (i. e., magnitude and phase by using FFT). The length of FFT and FFT¹ must be bigger than $2M^2$ to ensure that the recovery is done perfectly. That is

$$A_p(k) = FFT(a_p(m))$$

and

 $D_a(k)$ =FFT($d_a(m)$)where $0 \le k \le 2M^2$...(26) Now Eqs.(25) and (26) can be rewritten in the magnitude and phase forms:

...(25)

$$A_{\rm p}(k) = |A_{\rm p}(k)| \exp[j\theta_{A\rm p}(k)]$$
 ...(27)
and

 $D_{a}(k) = |D_{a}(k)| \exp[j\theta_{Da}(k)]$...(28) (4) The phase replacing process should take place here, i. e.,

$$\theta_{Da}(k) \longrightarrow \theta_{Ap}(k) \dots (29)$$

Then the new sequence $A_{p+1}(k)$ is obtained as:

$$A_{p+1}(k) = |A_p(k)| \exp[\frac{1}{2} \theta_{Da}(k)] \qquad ...(30)$$

(5) Now applying FFT⁻¹ to $A_{p+1}(k)$, $a_{p+1}(m)$ can be obtained, and since $a_{p+1}(m)$ is a $2M^2$ – point length it must be truncated into an M^2 -point length, that is

$$\hat{a}_{p+1}(m) = \begin{cases} a_{p+1}(m) & 0 \le m \le M^2 - 1 \\ 0 & M^2 \le m \le 2M^2 - 1 \dots (31) \end{cases}$$

This is the time truncation process.

Basrah Journal for Engineering Science/No.2 /2010

(6) Using inverse vector transform, the sequence $\hat{a}_{p+1}(m)$ is transformed to

$\hat{a}_{p+1}(r,c)$.

(7) The derivative operation is performed by using an edge detection operator (e.g. Laplacian operator), thus $\hat{a}_{p+1}(r,c)$ is obtained.

(8) The restored image at iteration p+1 is obtained by

$$a_{p+1}(r,c) = \hat{a}_{p+1}(r,c) + \hat{a}_{p+1}(r,c) \qquad \dots (32)$$

If the quality of the restored approximation coefficients of the image is not good the iterations are repeated from step 2B, else the restored image without blurring repre-

sents the new approximation coefficients. (9) For detail coefficients (H_c , V_c , D_c),

A. The thresholding values should be found to reduce or remove the effect of noise by using BayesShrink method.

B. The operation in detail coefficients must be delayed until the complete iterations for finding the new approximation coefficients are accomplished.

(10) Reconstruct the recovered image by applying the 2-D Inverse Discrete Wavelet Transform (IDWT).

6 Discussion

After image processing (restoration), the need to know how much the restored image is compatible to the original image, in other words whether the restoration process is effective or not.

i. Objective Fidelity Criteria

These criteria arc borrowed from digital signal and information theory and provide us with equations that can be used to measure the amount of error in the restored image. The commonly objective measures are the *Root Mean Square Error* (RMS) and the *Signal to Noise Ratio* (SNR).

The RMS can be defined as[6,17,18]:

RMS =
$$\sqrt{\frac{1}{R \times C} \sum_{r=0}^{R-I} \sum_{c=0}^{C-I} [f(r,c) - \hat{f}(r,c)]^2}$$
...(33)

and the SNR can be calculated as[1,19,20, 21]:

SNR = 10log
$$\frac{\sum_{r=0}^{R-1}\sum_{c=0}^{C-1} [f(r,c) - d(r,c)]^2}{\sum_{r=0}^{R-1}\sum_{c=0}^{C-1} [f(r,c) - \hat{f}(r,c)]^2}$$
...(34)

where:

f(r,c): is the original image, d(r,c): is the degraded of image, and $\hat{f}(r,c)$: is the restored image.

Another related image quality measure is the *Peak Signal to Noise Ratio* (PSNR), which is inversely proportional to the RMS, its units are in decibels (dB) and is formally defined by[6]:

$$PSNR = 20\log_{10}[\frac{255}{RMS}] \qquad ...(35)$$

where 255 is the maximum pixel value for an 8 bits / pixel gray-scale image.Clearly, when the original image is not known, one cannot rely on the above quantitative fidelity measures. In that case, the assessment of the deblurred and denoised image is done subjectively.

ii. Correlation Factor

Correlation factor (Cor) measures the similarity between two images and can be defined as[22]:

$$Cor = \frac{\sum_{r=1}^{R} \sum_{c=1}^{C} (f(r,c) - \bar{f})(\hat{f}(r,c) - \bar{f})}{\sqrt{\left[\sum_{r=1}^{R} \sum_{c=1}^{C} (f(r,c) - \bar{f})^{2}\right]\left[\sum_{r=1}^{R} \sum_{c=1}^{C} (\hat{f}(r,c) - \bar{f})^{2}\right]}}...(36)$$

where:

f(r,c): is the original image, and \bar{f} : is the mean of the original image f(r,c) that,

$$\bar{f} = \frac{l}{R \times C} \sum_{r=1}^{R} \sum_{c=1}^{C} f(r, c) \qquad ...(37)$$

 $\hat{f}(r,c)$: is the restored image, and \hat{f} : is the mean of the restored image $\hat{f}(r,c)$ that,

$$\bar{\hat{f}} = \frac{1}{R \times C} \sum_{r=1}^{R} \sum_{c=1}^{C} \hat{f}(r, c) \qquad ...(38)$$

"r,c" represents the pixel location R: height of the two images, and C: width of the two images.

7 Results

The application of the presented algorithm will be tested. The images under test will be blurred by two types of blurrings:



Figure 2 : A flow chart of our algorithm



Lena Original image



Cat Original image



City Original image

Figure 3 Shows the original images "Lena", "Cat" and "City"

Gaussian blur. 1.

2. Motion blur.

And also with two types of noise as:

1. Gaussian noise.

Salt and pepper noise.

Specific images used are selected with (512 × 512) pixels spatial resolution and gray-scale type.In our used as the Wavelet 2-levels.Discrete algorithm Transform (DWT) is used to decompose the images using Daubechies filter of order(8) denoted as " db8 ". These filters are found to be appropriate for excellent restoration for all images[8].

The images are degraded with Gaussian blur where the value of (σ) will be chosen equal to 2 with the number of selected pixels = 3 and then with the number of selected pixels =7. Motion blur is also tested with the number of the shifted pixels = 4 and then with the number of the shifted pixels = 8, both with shifting angle $\theta = 0$. While the Gaussian noise is imposed with $\sigma_n = 10$ and then σ_d =15. The noise density [8] for salt and pepper noise is chosen to be equal to (0.007 and 0.02).

The objective fidelity criteria is used to SNR,PSNR and the RMS , find correlation factor is calculated to compare among the images which were corrupted (with noise and blurring) and the original one. Also this factor is calculated to compare between the restored image and the original one. The tested images are "Lena", "Cat" and "City", which are the original images shown in figure 3. These images are restored by using our algorithm and hard, soft thresholding.

In Tables [1,2,3 and 4], the first column contains the name of tested images, the second column contains the number of

iterations(Iti.no.) needed, the third column contains the type of the added noise, and the forth column is $[\sigma_n]$ or [s] where:

 σ_n : is the standard deviation of Gaussian noise.

s ; is the noise density of Salt & pepper noise.

The fifth column contains the correlation factor for the noisy blurred image and one, while the sixth original the column represents the correlation factor for the restored image and the original one. The columns from seventh to ninth the SNR, RMS and PSNR, represent respectively.

The presented tables, may conclude that our algorithm has good candidates for the restoration of noisy blurred images. All values have SNR,RMS and PSNR good enough results limited ranges.

Conclusion 8

The main advantages of our algorithm is the property of less time consuming process. The deblurring process is not needed of PSF deformation.MFPIA using DWT will not be iteratived algorithm because the best results will be obtained for one iteration only.Finally,our algorithm can reduce both noise and blurring from images corrupted with high noise, while all standard methods cannot reduce both noise and blur from images only if the amount of noise is very small.

The future work of this paper can be directed to use the wavelet package instead of DWT, and reduce the effect of blurring by using the MFPIA first and then the Discrete Wavelet Transform to reduce the effect of noise.

98

Table 1	The	objective results	$\mathbf{o}\mathbf{f}$	Lena,	Cat	and	City	images	using	the presente	2
algorithm	, the	blurred Gaussian	wit	h valı	ies d	r=2 and	d the	number	of seid	ected pixels=	3,
(A) Using	hard	thresholding, (B) I	Jsinş	g soft	thres	sholdin	ıg.				

Name of Image	fel. no.	Type of naise	σ _n ors	Correlation factor of noisy binrred insign	Correlation factor of restored image	SNR jn db	RMS	PSNR ia db
		Gaussian	10	0.3504	0,9777	22.27	1 <u>0.30</u>	27.87
± %	1	Gaussian	15	0.3413	0.9739	21.61	11.17	27.17
	1	Salt&pepper	0.007	0.34\$1	0.9808	23.11	09.41	28.71
	1	Salt&pepper	0.02	0.3314	0.9702	21.18	11.78	26.71
		Gaussian	10	0.3697	0.9907	25.79	07.32	30.84
- 8	1	Gaussian	15	0.3617	0.9842	23.51	09.56	28.52
J.	1	Salt&pepper	0.007	0.3678	0.9903	25.63	07.47	30.66
-	i i	Salt&pepper	0.0Z	0.3525	0.9813	22.91	10.33	27.85
	1 1	Gaussian	10	0.3400	0.9659	20.66	10.71	27.54
	1	Gaussian	15	0 3281	0.9608	20.06	11.53	26.91
t d d	1	Salt&pepper	0.007	0.3375	0.9622	20.34	10.86	27.41
1 -	<u> </u>	Salt&pepper	0.02	0.3148	0.9548	19.51	12.32	26.32

(A)

(B)

Name of image	ίά. αφ.	Type of naise	σ _n or s	Correlation factor of noisy blurred image	Correlation factor of restored image	SNR i⊉ db	RMS	PSNR in db
	ļ	Gaussian	10	0.3504	0.9777	22.27	10.30	27.87
5 5		Gaussian	15	0.3413	0.9739	21.61	11.17	27.17
<u>s</u> ë	1	Salt&pepper	0.007	0.3481	0.9808	23.11	09.41	28.71
	1	Salu&pepper	0.02	0.3314	0.9702	21.18	11.78	26.71
		Gaussian	10	0.3697	0.9907	25.79	07.32	30,84
<u>ب</u>	i i	Gaussian	15	0.3617	0.9842	23.51	09,56	28.52
ឹដី	1	Salt&pepper	0.007	0. <u>3678</u>	0.9903	25.63	07.47	30.66
	1	Salt&pepper	0.02	0.3525	0.9813	22.91	10.33	27.85
	1 1	Gaussian	10	0.3400	0 9659	20.66	10.71	27.54
	1	Gaussian	15	0.3281	0.9608	20.06	11.53	26.91
l 5 2	1	Sandpepper	0.007	0.3375	0.9622	20.34	10.86	27.41
	Ę	Salt&pepper	0.02	0.3148	0.9548	19.51	12.32	26.32

Table 2 The objective results of Lena, Cat and City images using the presented algorithm, the blurred Gaussian with values $\sigma=2$ and the number of selected pixels=7, (A) Using hard thresholding, (B) Using soft thresholding.

1	٠	×	
£.	А	. 1	

Name of image	18, 80.	Type of noise	σ _π ors	Correlation factor of oolsy blarred image	Correlation factor of restored image	SNR in db	RMS	rSNR In db
	1	Gaussian	10	0.3449	0.9752	22.06	10.63	27.61
1 2 2	i	Gaussian	15	0.3355	0.9713	21.45	11.45	26.61
l 3 <u>ĕ</u>	ł	Salt&pepper	0.007	0,3426	0.9735	21.77	11.02	27.29
	I	Salt&pepper	0.02	0.3246	0.9666	20.89	12.29	26,34
· · · · · ·	1	Gaussian	10	0.3676	0.9887	25.00	08.06	30.01
눈쓸	1	Gaussian	15	0.3600	0.9854	23.90	09.18	28.87
ပ်ရှိ	1	Salt&pepper	0.007	0.3654	0.9854	23.93	09.13	28.92
	1	Salt&pepper	0.02	0.3496	0 9788	22.39	10.99	27.31
	1	Gaussian	10	0.3338	0.9624	20.44	11.09	27.23
26 26	1	Gaussian	15	0.3216	0.9570	19.89	11.89	26.65
φĨ	1	Self&pepper	0.007	0.3314	0.9589	20.09	11,53	26.91
	1	Salt&pepper	0.02	0.3077	0.9501	19.33	12.71	26.06

				<u>(B)</u>			<u> </u>	n
Name of image	lti. DD.	Type of noise	σ _n ors	Correlation factor of noisy blurred image	Correlation factor of restored image	SNR in db	RMS	PSNR in db
	Ι	Gaussian	10	0.3449	0.9752	22.06	10.63	27.61
នទ័	1	Gaussian	15	0.3355	0,9713	21.45	11.45	26.61
33 :	1	Salt&pepper	0.007	0.3426	0.9735	21.77	11.02	27.29
	1	Salt&pepper	0.02	0.3246	0.9666	20.89	12.29	26.34
	1	Gaussian	10	0.3676	0.9887	25.00	08.06	30.01
- 6	· · · ·	Gaussian	15	0.3600	0.9854	23.90	09.18	28.87
ΩĒ	1	Salt&pepper	0.007	0.3654	0.9854	23.93	09.13	28,92
_	1	Salt&pepper	0.02	0.3496	0.9788	22,39	10.99	27.31
	3	Gáussian	: 10	0.3338	0.9624	20.44	11.09	27.23
20 B	1	Gaussian	15	0.3216	0.9570	19.89	11.89	26.65
ភ្មិ	<u> </u>	Saltopepper	0.007	0.3314	0.9589	20.09	11.53	26.91
	l I	Sait&pepper	0.02	0.3077	0.9501	19.33	12.71	26.06

-

Κ.

Table 3 The objective results of Lena, Cat and City images using the presented algorithm, Motion blurred with the number of the shiftedpixels = 4, and the shifting angle θ =0. (A)Using hard thresholding,(B)Using soft thresholding.

(A)

Name of image	(ர். கூ.	Туре оГ војзе	σ _n or s	Correlation factor of noisy blurred image	Correlation factor of restored image	SNR in db	RMS	PSNR in db
	1	Gaussian	10	0.3497	0.9789	22.58	09.95	28.18
25	1	Gaussian	15	0.3404	0.9750	21.84	10.86	27.41
<u>3</u> Ë	1	Salt&pepper	0.007	0.3474	0.9800	22,94	09.54	28.54
	I	Salt&pepper	0.02	0.3296	0.9704	21.26	11.68	26.78
	L	Gaussian	10	0.3699	0.9862	24,06	08.93	29.12
- ğ	1	Gaussian	15	0.3624	0.9830	23.19	09.92	28.20
ပီရဲ့	1	Sult&pepper	0.007	0.3671	0.9896	25.36	07.73	30.37
	1	Saltårpepper	0.02	0.3522	0.9835	23.44	09.79	28.31
	1	Gaussian	10	0.3409	0.9852	20.57	10.79	27.47
2 2	i i	Gaussian	15	0.3289	0.9599	19.98	11.63	26.82
		Salt&pepper	0.007	0.3378	0.9636	20.46	10.94	27.35
	<u> </u>	Salt&pepper	0.02	0.3162	0.9541	19.49	12.34	26.30

(B)

Name of image	1fi. qq.	Type of noise	б _л or s	Correlation factor of noisy biorred image	Correlation factor of restored image	SNR in db	RMS	PSNR in db
	1	Gaussian	10	0.3497	0.9789	22.58	09.95	28.18
4 Å		Gaussian	15	0.3404	0.9750	21.84	10,86	27.41
「二重	1	Salt&pepper	0.007	0.3474	0.9800	22.94	09.54	28.54
	1	Salt&pepper	0.02	0.3296	0.9704	21.26	11.68	25.78
	1	Gaussian	10	0.3699	0.9862	24.06	08.93	29.12
	1	Gaussian	15	0.3624	0.9830	23.19	09.92	28.20
0 <u>0</u>	L	Salt&pepper	0.007	0.3671	0.9896	25.36	07.73	30.37
	1	Salt&pepper	0.02	0.3522	0.9835	23.44	09.79	28.3I
	1.	Gaussian	10	0.3409	0.9852	20.57	10.79	27.47
2 a	1	Gaussian	15	0.3289	0.9599	19.98	11.63	26.82
õĝ	ł	Salt&pepper	0.607	0.3378	0.9636	20.46	10.94	27.35
	1	Salt&pepper	0.02	0.3162	0.954)	19.49	12.34	26.30

				and the second				
Name of Image	191. ağ.	Type of noise	σ _n ors	Correlation factor of noisy bjurred image	Correlation factor of restored insige	SNR in dd	RMS	PSNR in db
_		Caussian	10	0.3427	0.9721	21.60	11.22	27.13
		Gautuian	15	0.3331	0.9678	21.00	12.09	26.48
- 6 8 I		Selt&percent	0.007	0.3399	0.9697	21.21	11.78	26.71
-3	╺╴╴╴╢	Salt&neoper	0.02	0.3226	0.9632	20.5	12.88	25.93
		Genesian	10	0.3682	0.9825	23.09	10.02	28.1
		Genesian	15	0.3605	0.9794	22.39	10.89	27.39
. H		California	0.007	0,3658	0.9870	<u>24.41</u>	08.65	29.41
E C	<u> </u>	Sale popper	0.02	0.3513	0,9802	22.66	10.63	27.41
		Causain	10	0.3344	0.9577	19.92	11.73	26.75
	╞╼╼┥	Caussian	15	0.3222	0.9522	19.41	12.51	26,21
λ, Š	1	Gaussian	0.007	0.3318	0.9521	19.42	12.44	26.23
С <u>я</u>		Saucepepper	0.007	0 3085	0.9455	18.91	13.29	25.66
		Sanazpepper	Q.VA	(1)				
				(11)				
								1
Name of image	911 <i>.</i> no.	Type of noise	σ _n ors	Correlation factor of noisy blurred ímage	Correlation factor of restored image	SNR io db	RMS	PSNR io db
Name of image	11í. no.	Type of noise	σ _n ors	Correlation factor of noisy blurred image 0.3427	Correlation factor of restored image 0.9721	SNR in db 21.60	RMS	PSNR in db 27.13
Name of image	11í. no.	Type of noise Gaussian	σ_n ors	Correlation factor of noisy blurred image 0.3427 0.3331	Correlation factor of restored image 0.9721 0.9678	SNR in db 21.60 21.00	RMS 11.22 12.09	PSNR in db 27.13 26.48
Name of image	11i. nø.	Type of noise Gaussian Salié menori	σ _n ors 10 15	Correlation factor of noisy blurred 0.3427 0.3331 0.3399	Correlation factor of restored image 0.9721 0.9678 0.9697	SNR in db 21.60 21.00 21.21	RMS 11.22 12.69 11.78	PSNR in db 27.13 26.48 26.71
Name of image	11i. no. i	Type of noise Gaussian Gaussien Salt&pepper	σ _n ors 10 15 0.007	Correlation factor of noisy blurred image 0.3427 0.3331 0.3399 0.3226	Correlation factor of restored image 0.9721 0.9678 0.9607 0.9632	SNR in db 21.60 21.00 21.21 20.51	RMS 11.22 12.09 11.78 12.88	PSNR in db 27.13 26.48 26.71 25.93
Nanist of image 	11i. no. i	Type of noise Gaussian Gaussian Salt&pepper Salt&pepper	σ _n ors 10 15 0.007 0.02	Correlation factor of noisy blurred image 0.3427 0.3331 0.3399 0.3226 0.3682	Correlation factor of restored image 0.9721 0.9678 0.9697 0.9632 0.9825	SNR in db 21.60 21.00 21.21 20.51 23.09	RMS 11.22 12.09 11.78 12.88 10.02	PSNR in db 27.13 26.48 26.71 25.93 28.11
Nariae of image 2 4 1 1		Type of noise Gaussian Gaussian Salt&pepper Gaussian Gaussian	σ _n ors 10 15 0.007 0.02 10	Correlation factor of noisy blurred image 0.3427 0.3331 0.3399 0.3226 0.3682 0.3605	Correlation factor of restored image 0.9721 0.9678 0.9697 0.9632 0.9825 0.9794	SNR in db 21.60 21.21 20.51 23.09 22.39	RMS 11.22 12.09 11.78 12.88 10.02 10.89	PSNR in db 27.13 26.48 26.71 25.93 28.11 27.39
Nariae of image E 21 1 1 1 2 2 3 4 7 1 1 2 3 8 1 1 2 3 8 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		Type of noise Gaussian Gaussian Salt&pepper Gaussian Gaussian	σ _n ors 10 15 0.007 0.02 10 15 0.007	Correlation factor of noisy blurred image 0.3427 0.3331 0.3399 0.3226 0.3682 0.3682 0.3658	Correlation factor of restored image 0.9721 0.9678 0.9697 0.9632 0.9825 0.9794 0.9870	SNR in db 21.60 21.00 21.21 20.51 23.09 22.39 24.41	RMS 11.22 12.09 11.78 12.88 10.02 10.89 08.65	PSNR in db 27.13 26.48 26.71 25.93 28.11 27.39 29.41
Nariae of image Lug Lug C		Type of noise Gaussian Gaussian Salt&pepper Gaussian Gaussian Salt&pepper	σ _n ors 10 15 0.007 0.02 10 15 0.007	Correlation factor of noisy blurred 0.3427 0.3331 0.3399 0.3226 0.3682 0.3682 0.3655 0.3655 0.3655	Correlation factor of restored image 0.9721 0.9678 0.9697 0.9632 0.9825 0.9794 0.9870 0.9802	SNR in db 21.60 21.00 21.21 20.51 23.09 22.39 24.41 22.66	RMS 11.22 12.09 11.78 12.88 10.02 08.65 10.63	PSNR in db 27.13 26.48 26.71 25.93 28.11 27.39 29.41 27.41
Nariae of image Curr Curr Curr Curr		Type of noise Gaussian Gaussian Salt&pepper Gaussian Gaussian Salt&pepper Salt&pepper	σ _n ors 10 15 0.007 0.02 10 15 0.007 15 0.007	Correlation factor of noisy blurred 0.3427 0.3331 0.3399 0.3226 0.3682 0.3682 0.3655 0.3655 0.3513 0.3344	Correlation factor of restored image 0.9721 0.9678 0.9697 0.9632 0.9825 0.9794 0.9870 0.9870 0.9802 0.9577	SNR in db 21.60 21.00 21.21 20.51 23.09 22.39 24.41 22.66 19.92	RMS 11.22 12.09 11.78 12.88 10.02 08.65 10.63 11.73	PSNR in db 27.13 26.48 26.71 25.93 28.11 27.39 29.41 27.41 26.75
Nariae of image ELT T		Type of noise Gaussian Gaussian Salt&pepper Gaussian Salt&pepper Salt&pepper Gaussian	σ _n ors 10 15 0.007 0.02 10 15 0.007 0.02 10 15 0.007	Correlation factor of noisy blurred image 0.3427 0.3331 0.3399 0.3226 0.3682 0.3682 0.3658 0.3658 0.3513 0.3244 0.3222	Correlation factor of restored image 0.9721 0.9678 0.9697 0.9632 0.9825 0.9794 0.9870 0.9870 0.9870 0.9870 0.9877 0.9557	SNR in db 21.60 21.00 21.21 20.51 23.09 22.39 24.41 22.66 19.92 19.41	RMS 11.22 12.09 11.78 12.88 10.02 10.89 08.65 10.63 11.73 12.51	PSNR in db 27.13 26.48 26.71 25.93 28.11 27.39 29.41 27.41 26.75 26.21
Nariae of image Cat Intes Inte		Type of noise Gaussian Gaussian Salt&pepper Gaussian Salt&pepper Salt&pepper Gaussian Salt&pepper Gaussian	σ _n ors 10 15 0.007 0.02 10 15 0.007 0.02 10 15 0.007	Correlation factor of noisy blurred image 0.3427 0.3331 0.3399 0.3226 0.3682 0.3682 0.3658 0.3513 0.3244 0.3222 0.3318	Correlation factor of restored image 0.9721 0.9678 0.9697 0.9632 0.9825 0.9794 0.9870 0.9870 0.9870 0.9870 0.9870 0.9577 0.9522 0.9521	SNR in db 21.60 21.00 21.21 20.51 23.09 22.39 24.41 22.66 19.92 19.41 19.42	RMS 11.22 12.09 11.78 12.88 10.02 10.89 08.65 10.63 11.73 12.51 12.44	PSNR in db 27.13 26.48 26.71 25.93 28.11 27.39 29.41 27.41 26.75 26.21 26.23
Clty Cat Lerna Lasge Image Image		Type of noise Gaussian Gaussian Salt&pepper Gaussian Salt&pepper Gaussian Salt&pepper Gaussian Salt&pepper	σ _n ors 10 15 0.007 0.02 10 15 0.007 0.02 10 15 0.007 15 0.007	Correlation factor of noisy blurred image 0.3427 0.3331 0.3399 0.3226 0.3682 0.3682 0.3658 0.3558 0.3558 0.3558 0.3244 0.3222 0.318 0.3085	Correlation factor of restored image 0.9721 0.9678 0.9697 0.9632 0.9825 0.9794 0.9870 0.9870 0.9870 0.9870 0.9870 0.9872 0.95577 0.9522 0.9551 0.9455	SNR in db 21.60 21.00 21.21 20.51 23.09 22.39 24.41 22.66 19.92 19.41 19.42 19.91	RMS 11.22 12.09 11.78 12.88 10.02 10.89 08.65 10.63 11.73 12.51 12.44 13.29	PSNR in db 27.13 26.48 26.71 25.93 28.11 27.39 29.41 27.41 26.75 26.21 26.23 26.23 25.66

Table 4 The objective results of Lena, Cat and City images using the presented algorithm, Motion blurred with the number of the shifted pixels = 8, and the shifting angle θ =0. (A)Using hard thresholding,(B)Using soft thresholding.

Acknowledgment

100

I would like to thank my God for his hospitality. I also would like to thank the University of Basrah and the Department of Computer Engineering for generous support. I also wish to thank the head of Computer Engineering Department Dr. Majid A. Alwan for his help and encouragement.

References

[1]S. E. Umbaugh, Computer Vision and Image Processing, Prentice-Hall, Inc., USA, 1998.

[2]C. H. Vannimenus, "Denoising Images with Wavelets", French, 2003.

[3]C. Taswell, "The What, How, and Why of Wavelet Shrinkage Denoising", Technical Report, Stanford, CA ,1999.

Bastah Journal for Engineering Science/No.2 /2010

[4]A. Smirnov and M. Ginzburg, "Iterative Image Restoration", 1998.

[5]MATLAB 6.5, "Image Processing Toolbox"

[6]M. Ghazel, "Adaptive Fractal and Wavelet Image Denoising", Ph. D. Thesis Submitted to The Electrical and Computer Engineering, University of Waterloo, Ontario, Canada, 2004.

[7]"Wavelet Transform and Denoising" http://scholar.lib.vt.edu/theses/available/et d-12062002152858/ unrestricted /Chapter4 .pdf.

[8] MATLAB 6.5, "Wavelet Toolbox".

[9]N.Sundaram, "Wavelet-Based Denoising and Watermarking in Images",Image Processing Project # 9.

[10]D. L. Donoho, "De-noising by Soft-Thresholding", *IEEE Trans. Infor. Theory*, Vol. 41, pp. 613-627, 1995. [11]D. L. Donoho and I. M. Johnstone, "Adapting to Unknown Smoothness via Wavelet Shrinkage", Journal of American Statistical Association, 90(432): 1200-1224, December 1995.

[12]A. Antoniadis and J. Bigot, "Wavelet Estimators in Nonparametric Regression: A Comparative Simulation Study", *Journal of Statistical Software*, Vol.6, 2001.

[13]R. Rangarajan, R. Venkataramanan and S. Shah, "Image Denoising Using Wavelets", December 16,2002.

http://www.personal.engin.umich.edu/~rve nkata/551_code/Report.pdf

[14]S. G. Chang, B. Yu and M. Vetterli, "Adaptive Wavelet Thresholding for Image Denoising and Compression", *IEEE Trans. Image Processing*, Vol. 9, No. 9, pp. 1532-1546, September 2000.

[15]F. Zhe Ren and H. Zhou, "The Fixed -Phase Iterative Algorithm Recovery of Blurred Image", ICSP, 2000.

[16]H. A. Jwad, "A Novel Approach for Restoration of Blurred Images", M. Sc. Thesis Submitted to The Department of The Computer Engineering, University of Basrah, May 2004.

[17]"Digital Image Processing in Natural Sciences and Medicine"

http://as.ch/DIP/Manual/1WinterSemester/ DIP-Part-01(6-11).pdf.

[18]J. G. Brankov, J. Djordjeric, M. N. Wernick and N. P. Galatsanos, "Tomographic Image Reconstruction for System with Partially-Known Blur", Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering, Illinois Institute of Technology, Chicago, USA, 1999.

[19]P. G. Nikolas, "Multichannel Regularized Iterative Restoration of Motion Compensated Image Sequences", M. Sc. Thesis Submitted to The Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering, University of Northwestern, March 1995.

[20]X.Miao,"Image Restoration:Removal of Blur Caused by Uniform Linear Motion", University of California at Berkeley, 2000.

http://www.cnr.berkeley.edu/~miaoxin/res earch/deblurring/Deblurring.htm [21]K. K. Aggelos, "Iterative Image Restoration Algorithm", Optical Engineering, Vol. 28, No. 7, pp. 735-748, July 1989.

[22]H. H. Abdul Zahrah, "Encryption Using Wavelet Coded Image Data", M. Sc. Thesis Submitted to The Department of The Computer Engineering, University of Basrah, June 2004.